

BEFORE THE  
ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF :

CAMILLE R. SCHURER

V

No. 07-0557

COMMONWEALTH EDISON COMPANY

Complaint as to service in Cook  
County, Illinois.

Chicago, Illinois

March 20, 2008

Met pursuant to notice at 1:00 p.m.

BEFORE :

MS. LESLIE HAYNES, Administrative Law Judge.

APPEARANCES :

MS. CAMILLE R. SCHURER

7042 North Hiawatha Avenue

Chicago, Illinois 60646

appeared pro se, telephonically;

MR. MARK L. GOLDSTEIN

108 Wilmot Road, Suite 330

Deerfield, Illinois 60015

appeared for Commonwealth Edison Company.

SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by  
Teresann B. Giorgi, CSR

1		<u>I</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>X</u>	
2							
3	<u>WITNESSES :</u>	<u>DIRECT</u>	<u>CROSS</u>	<u>BY</u>	<u>EXAMINER</u>		
4	NONE						
5							
6							
7							
8							
9			<u>EXHIBITS</u>				
10	<u>STAFF 'S</u>	<u>FOR IDENTIFICATION</u>		<u>IN EVIDENCE</u>			
11	NONE						
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							

1 JUDGE HAYNES: Pursuant to the direction  
2 of the Illinois Commerce Commission, I now call  
3 Docket 07-0557. This is the complaint by Camille  
4 Schurer versus Commonwealth Edison Company.

5 May I have the appearances for the  
6 record, please, starting with the hearing room here  
7 in Chicago.

8 MR. GOLDSTEIN: On behalf of Commonwealth  
9 Edison Company, Mark L. Goldstein, 108 Wilmot Road,  
10 Suite 330, Deerfield, Illinois 60015. My telephone  
11 number is 847-580-5480.

12 Also on the line from ComEd is John  
13 Parise.

14 JUDGE HAYNES: Okay. On the phone -- did you  
15 want to put an appearance in?

16 MR. WALSH: Yeah, I'll go on the record.

17 My name is Kevin Walsh. I'm here on  
18 behalf of Camille Schurer as the representative of  
19 Wildwood Community Association. She's asked me to  
20 show up on behalf of her.

21 JUDGE HAYNES: Okay.

22 And on the telephone?

1 MS. SCHURER: I'm Camille Schurer. My address  
2 is 7042 North Hiawatha Avenue, Chicago,  
3 773-763-6070; however, today it would be  
4 772-546-6925.

5 MR. SCHURER: And Robert Schurer.

6 JUDGE HAYNES: Okay. The last time the parties  
7 had agreed to get together for a meeting with the  
8 Schurers, ComEd, the alderman, the community  
9 association, and I was just wondering if somebody  
10 could bring that to-date, if anything was resolved  
11 there, or what's going on?

12 MS. SCHURER: Well, I will tell you about the  
13 meeting I have attended since our meeting and that  
14 was with a neighbor and Mr. Parise and Mr. Gomez  
15 from ComEd and one other gentleman, I do not recall  
16 his name. At that time we discussed an on-site  
17 meeting where -- Kevin, maybe you remember that  
18 gentleman's name, the young fellow that came out to  
19 the site.

20 MR. WALSH: I believe it was Mark, one of the  
21 engineers from ComEd.

22 MS. SCHURER: Okay. And at that time we

1 discussed what other solution or other possibilities  
2 to the overhead power lines on Hiawatha Avenue. And  
3 that was my only meeting that has been in  
4 discussion. I understand there was -- and I know  
5 there was a meeting at Wildwood. The community  
6 organization and the alderman attended that on  
7 March 11th. My husband was at that meeting. And  
8 they were talking about burying lines at the  
9 community's cost. They were going to let people  
10 know the cost of doing that. To this point, there  
11 is no resolve.

12 I did ask for discovery items of  
13 Mr. Goldstein. And there were 21 questions. And my  
14 answers from him are, 17 of those 21 answers were  
15 objections. So I can't make any progress with  
16 objections. The other questions that were answered  
17 were included attachments, which were not included.  
18 Also, there was a list of the power outages and that  
19 was incorrect.

20 Now, there was one question answered,  
21 and that's the question I want to address. It was  
22 Question No. 10 --

1 JUDGE HAYNES: Okay. Wait a second.

2 Does somebody have a copy of this for

3 me?

4 MS. SCHURER: Well, if you don't have a copy --

5 JUDGE HAYNES: Hold on. I think they're looking

6 for one. Hold on.

7 Does somebody have one?

8 MR. WALSH: Do you want to view it?

9 JUDGE HAYNES: Yes.

10 Do you have more copies,

11 Mr. Goldstein?

12 MR. WALSH: (Indicating.)

13 JUDGE HAYNES: Do you have another copy now for

14 Mr. Walsh?

15 MR. WALSH: That's okay.

16 JUDGE HAYNES: Okay. Go ahead. Question 10.

17 MS. SCHURER: Question 10 was, I asked, the

18 dates, the reasons for a portion of Niles,

19 Bunker Hill, being added to the North Edgebrook

20 circuit.

21 The answer was, Portion of Bunker

22 Hill, Niles, was added in 2002. ComEd's capacity

1 planning department routinely shifts load and switch  
2 the system to balance system loading. And I want to  
3 call serious attention to the fact that from that  
4 time our problems intensified.

5 I just want them to please go back and  
6 take a look at that change. I can't technically  
7 make comments, but I know we intensified. I've been  
8 living through those power outages.

9 And, then, one more comment. An  
10 article that appeared in our local paper on the 15th  
11 of March, and it was the meeting attended by the  
12 alderman and the community association, Mr. and  
13 Mrs. Orr, where ComEd has told the association that  
14 the 41st Ward, that's us, has the least reliable  
15 power supply in the city, and that is on the city's  
16 radar.

17 That's all I really have to say.  
18 There has been no resolve in this, as much as people  
19 are meeting, and I do believe, cooperating. I just  
20 want to address those two things that might help us  
21 to get improvement.

22 JUDGE HAYNES: Okay. Was there a meeting with

1 the alderman?

2 MS. SCHURER: On March 11th. And Kevin Walsh --  
3 I don't know if you were there, but I know my  
4 husband, Bob Schurer, was.

5 MR. SCHURER: The meeting that we talked about  
6 at the first hearing, that meeting never took place.

7 JUDGE HAYNES: Okay. And I don't remember  
8 exactly, but when does ComEd intend to start doing  
9 this construction?

10 MR. PARISE: We've actually stopped the  
11 construction at this point in time, based on the  
12 alderman's instructions.

13 JUDGE HAYNES: Oh, so it was started --

14 MR. PARISE: It's been put on hold. It has not  
15 been done.

16 That's correct.

17 MR. WALSH: I have spoken to the alderman  
18 numerous times on this matter. And he is,  
19 obviously, against it because the community is  
20 against the above-ground poles, the four utility  
21 poles.

22 The North Edgebrook Community



1 Association had a meeting with the alderman -- a  
2 public meeting, the alderman, ComEd and 40, 45  
3 people were there on March 11th.

4 And, John, were you at that meeting?

5 MR. PARISE: No, I was not, Kevin.

6 MR. SCHURER: I was there, Kevin.

7 MS. SCHURER: Bob was there.

8 MR. WALSH: Okay. I guess ComEd has agreed to  
9 provide us with some cost, where in the past they  
10 weren't going to give it to us. They've agreed to  
11 provide us with some cost to bury the lines and how  
12 many people can or would contribute -- could legally  
13 contribute, I believe, because we don't know if the  
14 whole grid has to be charged, or just the specific  
15 people that are affected by the outages.

16 I believe ComEd was going to get back  
17 to us and provide that. And then we were going to  
18 go back to the community and see if, in fact, it was  
19 feasible to do that, to bury those lines.

20 (Whereupon, people were  
21 talking on the phone.)

22 JUDGE HAYNES: You know, on the telephone here,

1 we don't know -- can't tell the difference between  
2 Mr. Parise and Mr. Schurer. So if you could  
3 identify yourself before you start.

4 MR. SCHURER: I'm sorry. This is Robert  
5 Schurer.

6 At the meeting it was decided that  
7 they were to provide us with two cost studies, one  
8 was to bury the cable down Hiawatha and one to bury  
9 the cable down Tahoma.

10 MR. WALSH: Right. And I guess -- so we could  
11 determine how much per household it would cost.

12 MR. WALSH: Right.

13 So I guess, at least my understanding  
14 from the North Edgebrook Association, our  
15 association and the couple hundred people I've  
16 talked to, we'd rather wait for those figures to  
17 come in before we would want ComEd to even consider  
18 putting up the poles. And I know ComEd has put the  
19 work on hold per the alderman. I think we're  
20 waiting on those figures in order to kind of make  
21 our decision, because we don't know if it's going to  
22 be feasible and how many people can absorb the cost.

1 If there's 500 people and the figure is 60,000, then  
2 that may be feasible that ComEd let's us pay it over  
3 a year's time on our bill. But we don't know that  
4 yet, so. . .

5                   Today's meeting is kind of premature,  
6 sort to say, until we get those figures and everyone  
7 can get back together. Because it is clearly  
8 evident and the alderman has stated that he will  
9 not, not allow, as much power as he has, he will  
10 not allow those poles to be there, but I understand  
11 the ICC can mandate those poles to go on there.  
12 They can override the alderman, is that correct?

13       JUDGE HAYNES: I'd have to think about it. How  
14 about that?

15       MR. WALSH: What we're looking to do, and I  
16 think the Schurers would agree with me, is that --  
17 and John, hopefully, you're on-board, we don't want  
18 any construction to start with any type of poles  
19 until we can evaluate both of the different  
20 scenarios, on burying the cable underground. And,  
21 also, there's -- obviously, there's problems in the  
22 past. We've been lucky. I know ComEd has done some

1 infrastructure. We've been lucky, there's been no  
2 outages in, you know, call it two and a half, three  
3 months.

4 MR. SCHURER: December 23rd was the last outage.

5 MR. WALSH: December 23rd. So we're going on  
6 three months.

7 It's possible that some of the  
8 infrastructure ComEd has done, in conjunction with  
9 the tree trimming, may have cured some of the  
10 problems, or temporarily, but we would ask that  
11 ComEd halt their plan to add utility poles on  
12 Hiawatha until we can, in fact, evaluate the two  
13 scenarios, once they're provided to us from ComEd.

14 JUDGE HAYNES: Okay. So when does ComEd intend  
15 to provide some information on the cost of this?

16 MR. PARISE: Leslie, this is John Parise  
17 speaking.

18 After that meeting on the 15th or the  
19 11th, we had a powwow, and I guess there was some  
20 miscommunications because we've given the costs out  
21 at high level, how much it's going to cost to go  
22 underground.

1                   If the people of that area want a hard  
2 number, something that we have to live by, we're  
3 going to need a deposit from them to do that.

4       MR. SCHURER: This is Robert Schurer.

5                   At the meeting they said that they  
6 would do those cost studies for us.

7       MR. PARISE: I talked to the engineering  
8 department, they have to outsource this. They don't  
9 have the manpower to do that. And they said there's  
10 going to be a charge for that. That's what they  
11 told me.

12       MR. SCHURER: Well, that's new information. And  
13 that was not determined at the meeting that way.

14       MR. PARISE: I wasn't at the meeting, Bob, you  
15 know, so. . .

16       MR. SCHURER: I'm sorry.

17       MR. PARISE: I wasn't at the meeting.

18       MR. SCHURER: No, I know you weren't, but I was  
19 there. And they did not -- I mean, they said that  
20 they would do the -- they were to determine the two  
21 costs and get back to us, and also determine the  
22 number of households affected, so then we could

1 divide out the cost by the households.

2 MR. PARISE: You know, that's really not the  
3 business ComEd is in. And I can assure you guys  
4 that in all cases if we do a hard number estimate  
5 and give you exactly something we'll live by, we'd  
6 charge the customer up front.

7 The monies that we charge you, if you  
8 go forward -- or move forward and do the work, are  
9 applied toward the job.

10 Now, if you don't do the work and you  
11 don't want to go underground because it's cost  
12 prohibitive, that's okay, but you're going to have  
13 to pay the cost to engineer that job.

14 MS. SCHURER: Well, why didn't they say --

15 MR. PARISE: I don't know.

16 MS. SCHURER: This is so just not productive.

17 It's just -- it doesn't allow us to go anywhere.

18 When they have a meeting, they ask the community to  
19 come forward. They bring the alderman in. They  
20 bring in your people. How much of a waste is that?

21 JUDGE HAYNES: Okay.

22 MS. SCHURER: And then people that represented

1 your company tell the community they're going to  
2 provide this information per household, and the  
3 cost, and now you --

4 MR. PARISE: This is the first I've heard that.

5 MS. SCHURER: -- and where are we? How can you  
6 go anywhere when the cart is before the horse and no  
7 one knows what's happening?

8 MR. PARISE: Well, I could speak for ComEd  
9 today.

10 MR. WALSH: Well, John, we had a representative  
11 form ComEd. So within a week --

12 MR. PARISE: Who made that statement?

13 Can you tell me, Kevin?

14 MR. WALSH: Ruben Gomez.

15 MR. SCHURER: Yes. I will verify that.

16 MR. WALSH: That's what I mean.

17 You know, we're trying to work with  
18 you guys. You know, we don't have 1200 people  
19 calling the alderman's office. We're trying to work  
20 with you guys. We've listened to you. We've  
21 listened to your district guy. Ruben Gomez told us  
22 what he was going to do. The people were happy with

1 that scenario. Now, it's a week later. I've got to  
2 go back and tell them, Hey, wait a minute, that  
3 isn't the solution. ComEd lied to us --

4 JUDGE HAYNES: Wait. Wait. Wait.

5 Mr. Parise, what you're talking about  
6 for the down payment, is that for them to have an  
7 actual number, per household, what they will be  
8 charged?

9 MR. PARISE: No. No. That would be the cost to  
10 do the underground service in lieu of the overhead.

11 JUDGE HAYNES: Right.

12 But, I mean --

13 MR. PARISE: You see, they have to engineer  
14 that.

15 JUDGE HAYNES: Right.

16 But what you're talking about, they  
17 have to give a down payment for that engineering  
18 study so that each house finds out what they would  
19 owe?

20 MR. PARISE: No. No. That's just to see what  
21 the job costs. Strictly, what does the job cost.

22 JUDGE HAYNES: Right.



1       MR. PARISE: From that, if they know they have  
2 1100 households in there, they can just divide by  
3 each household.

4       JUDGE HAYNES: Now, didn't you say something  
5 about some high level number that --

6       MR. PARISE: Yeah. We gave them a high level  
7 number. I think it was between 75 and 100.

8       JUDGE HAYNES: 75 and 100 --

9       MR. WALSH: Thousand.

10      MR. PARISE: Thousand.

11      MR. WALSH: But that's only one scenario.  
12 There's another scenario, we don't have a number.

13      JUDGE HAYNES: And that's for all --

14      MR. PARISE: Which is the other one, Kevin?

15      MR. WALSH: The one that dead-ends at Tahoma.  
16 Your engineer rolled it out. He brought his  
17 measuring out there. And it was half the  
18 distance -- the underground portion was half of what  
19 it would be on Hiawatha. So do I assume that that's  
20 half the price? Do I get to assume that? Because  
21 if it is half the price, then obviously we would  
22 take the Tahoma alternative.

1                   But Ruben Gomez said that we would --  
2   you know, one, I understand you're giving a high  
3   level price, but going from 75,000 to 100,000,  
4   that's a 25 percent increase. I mean, there has to  
5   be a little better formula that ComEd uses -- I  
6   mean, because if you're going to tell me that and it  
7   goes to 125 --

8       MR. PARISE: You know what, Kevin, it could.

9       MR. WALSH: But how are we supposed to come to  
10   an agreement between the community associations and  
11   the alderman and ComEd -- if you're telling me it's  
12   75,000 and I go to all the households and say, Hey,  
13   it's 75,000, and then you send me a bill for 150,  
14   I'm going to be running down the freeway with 400  
15   people chasing me.

16       JUDGE HAYNES: Okay. So what Mr. Parise said  
17   was, in order to get a better number you'd have to  
18   pay the down payment for the engineering to get the  
19   actual number.

20                                   (Whereupon, parties were  
21                                   talking over each other  
22                                   on the phone.)

1 MS. SCHURER: Why, then, Mr. Parise, didn't you  
2 go to the meeting on March 11th?

3 MR. PARISE: I wish I were there. Next time  
4 I'll make sure I'll go.

5 MS. SCHURER: Why was Mr. Gomez allowed to say  
6 to the residents there that they were to take care  
7 of the cost per household.

8 I'm going to put my husband on,  
9 because he was actually at the meeting.

10 MR. PARISE: Sure. Sure.

11 MR. SCHURER: Judge, and John, I think what you  
12 need at the meeting is someone besides Ruben Gomez.  
13 First of all, he tried to intimidate the 40 people  
14 that were there into the poles on Hiawatha. He  
15 said, We've got the money allocated. If you don't  
16 do it, the money is going to be taken away. He was  
17 trying to intimidate people to do that.

18 When he presented the other options,  
19 either he was a very disorganized person, he doesn't  
20 communicate well with the crowd, and I think, John,  
21 you need a better representative at the meetings,  
22 then, that can say to us, Look, if we've got to do

1 the engineering study, then, you as the association  
2 have to put up this money. That was not  
3 communicated.

4                   There were roughly 40 people at that  
5 meeting. And there was not one person there that  
6 said, Go ahead with the Hiawatha poles. Every  
7 person there said, We do not want the poles on  
8 Hiawatha.

9       JUDGE HAYNES: Okay. So I have another question  
10 for Mr. Parise.

11                   I assume that no down payment is  
12 required to tell another high level estimate for the  
13 shorter route and also the number of houses affected  
14 for each way.

15       MR. PARISE: Yes, that's part of my notes, as a  
16 matter of fact, your Honor.

17       JUDGE HAYNES: So you do have that information?

18       MR. PARISE: No. I'm going to try to find that  
19 out.

20       JUDGE HAYNES: Okay.

21       MR. PARISE: I have to get back to the folks on  
22 that.

1 JUDGE HAYNES: Okay.

2 MR. PARISE: But I think the same way, Kevin, if  
3 it's half the distance, I would think it would be  
4 half the money.

5 MR. WALSH: I understand that. But I was just  
6 going to bring that point up. You're telling me 75  
7 to 100, but it could go to 150. So these high level  
8 quotes -- I don't understand how that could even be  
9 a quote.

10 JUDGE HAYNES: You know what also would be  
11 helpful, Mr. Parise, if you could tell how much this  
12 down payment would be -- how much they would have to  
13 pay to get this engineering study to decide if they  
14 want to go ahead with it.

15 MR. PARISE: I thought they said a thousand  
16 dollars.

17 JUDGE HAYNES: A thousand dollars divided over  
18 how many people?

19 MR. PARISE: Well, I'll find out.

20 MR. WALSH: I also have a question. John, I'm  
21 sure there has to be some formula ComEd uses to  
22 calculate underground. They can't -- there would

1 have to be a way to narrow, at least, within 20  
2 percent. I can't see you giving a quote for 75 and  
3 then getting a bill for 150.

4 MR. PARISE: I'm not saying that. I don't know.  
5 You know, high level quotes are just that kind of --  
6 utilities sit down and engineer the job. They don't  
7 know what it's going to be. They can give you a  
8 ballpark. And that's why it's a ballpark, you  
9 know.

10 If you're really serious about getting  
11 the job done, whatever, you folks all agree -- but  
12 keep in mind, too, that if you get an estimate for  
13 underground Hiawatha, that's one price. And if you  
14 want another price for the installation on Tacoma  
15 (sic), there'll be a separate price and a separate  
16 down payment.

17 MR. WALSH: Okay. And my question also, John,  
18 would be -- that's fine. I understand there's two  
19 different engineering reports.

20 My question is, Ruben said the money  
21 has been set aside for the improvements. If we go  
22 ahead and agree to get the study done to do the

1 underground, and we get a price let's say at 75, how  
2 much money actually has been set aside that Ruben is  
3 dangling in front of us? Has ComEd got 25,000 set  
4 aside for this improvement? 50,000? And whatever  
5 that dollar amount is, do we get that credited  
6 towards the difference, because you would have spent  
7 that money putting up the poles anyway. And,  
8 obviously, you have a capital improvement dollar  
9 amount. We'd like to know what your dollar amount  
10 is to run the four overhead poles.

11 MR. PARISE: That would have been part of the  
12 past. Let me talk on that for a second, if I might.

13 Number one, Ruben was really telling  
14 the truth. We schedule jobs in construction and we  
15 allocate money for those jobs, okay? If we pull  
16 that out of the works, and we're not going to do  
17 that job, that money goes towards some other job and  
18 we'd have to somehow -- if we decide we're going to  
19 go with that, find the funds for that, to do the  
20 job.

21 So if you guys were to want to do this  
22 rather quickly and get the estimates for

1 underground, I can most assuredly say that whatever  
2 the difference is in the overhead versus the  
3 underground, will be the difference that you folks  
4 had to pay, okay?

5                   Once we lose that job in the schedule,  
6 and we give the money to some other job, if you  
7 folks still want to go underground, I'm not sure  
8 we'll be able to take that off. I'll find it out.  
9 That's another question I have.

10       MR. WALSH: Well, John --

11       MR. PARISE: Just to be up front, if we don't  
12 move forward with this job --

13       MR. WALSH: -- it really seems like it's turning  
14 into a one-way street here. We're willing to work  
15 with you. Now all of a sudden, it seems like you  
16 can't give us a price. We've got to pay for the  
17 price (sic), I understand. But, now --

18       MR. SCHURER: They're doing what they want to  
19 do.

20       JUDGE HAYNES: Okay. Okay. One person at a  
21 time. The court reporter here --

22       MR. SCHURER: I'm sorry.



1           MR. WALSH: Yeah, John, let me finish.

2                       It just seems like now, you know,  
3 we're working with you. You know, you sent Ruben  
4 Gomez there. We didn't send him there. If he's  
5 spilling out bad information, that's not our fault,  
6 that's ComEd's fault.

7                       But now to say, if we don't hurry up  
8 and do this, we're going to lose the money, I think  
9 it's really turning into a one-way street. We've  
10 tried to keep the alderman out of it. We've tried  
11 to keep 1200 residents out of it for now so we can  
12 resolve this. But how do I keep going back to  
13 people and saying, Well, ComEd lied, or, Ruben  
14 shouldn't have said that. Ruben shouldn't have said  
15 this. I think at some point ComEd has got to kind  
16 of bend and work with us a little bit. We've been  
17 patient for four years -- or seven years with these  
18 outages.

19                      You can't rush us into making a  
20 decision about spending 75 to \$100,000, holding the  
21 work order over our heads, saying if we don't make a  
22 decision right away -- I don't think that's right.

1 And I think the Judge would agree with me.

2 MS. SCHURER: And, also -- it's Camille Schurer.

3 What is the secret about the allocated  
4 money? That would certainly help people to make a  
5 better decision.

6 And, Judge, there's too much vagueness  
7 here. And we, of course, are the people that have  
8 been suffering. It isn't seven years. It's, like,  
9 15 and 20 years. And I don't want to go into that.  
10 We're only going to talk about 2007.

11 But I want to go back to when you  
12 added Bunker Hill to the circuit in 2002, you  
13 intensified our problems. Go back there for your  
14 study and find out what happened, and remove them  
15 and take them off and change things. Maybe it  
16 doesn't even involve underground.

17 And also, it has been said, after we  
18 do all of this, we may not have a solution to the  
19 outages. So we're the residents that are suffering  
20 here. And no one is ever going to agree to those  
21 overhead poles because, first of all, you are then  
22 going to surround us on three sides with poles.

1                   No one has talked about the  
2 electromagnetic fields. Everyone wants to say, Oh,  
3 that's nothing. Well, it's something, and it's a  
4 health hazard. And in addition to the  
5 electromagnetic fields, you then mess with the  
6 evaluation of our homes. And that's real.

7                   And I want to go back to the 20 vague  
8 answers from Mr. Goldstein.

9           JUDGE HAYNES: Okay. You know, Ms. Schurer, if  
10 you want -- you are free to file a motion to compel,  
11 if you feel that the Company's answers to your data  
12 requests have not been thorough --

13          MR. WALSH: Can I make a suggestion?

14          JUDGE HAYNES: -- okay, Ms. Schurer? And then  
15 the Company will tell us why they have given the  
16 responses they have given.

17                   However, you have listed this  
18 No. 10 -- mentioned this No. 10 several times today.  
19 What is it that -- are you saying that the response  
20 to No. 10 isn't complete?

21          MS. SCHURER: No. I'm saying that the response  
22 is an indicator of why they should go back and think

1 about their action there in adding a portion of  
2 Niles' Bunker Hill to our load. Our problems, as of  
3 that time in 2002, intensified with our outages.

4 JUDGE HAYNES: Okay. So if any of the Company's  
5 responses to your data requests are not to your  
6 satisfaction, you're free to file the motion to  
7 compel, okay?

8 MS. SCHURER: Okay. Motion to compel, is that  
9 what you're calling it?

10 JUDGE HAYNES: Yes.

11 MS. SCHURER: Okay. And who do I do that with?

12 JUDGE HAYNES: You file it with the Chief  
13 Clerk's Office, and just enumerate what you take  
14 issue with with Mr. Goldstein's responses.

15 And I have a question for Mr. Parise.

16 That 75 to \$100,000 number you gave,  
17 is that the total estimated price for this job, or  
18 is that just the additional that these customers  
19 would owe on top of the money the Company has  
20 already allocated?

21 MR. PARISE: That would be the additional  
22 dollars.

1 JUDGE HAYNES: The additional dollars.

2 MR. PARISE: Right. The difference of

3 underground versus overhead for that job.

4 MR. WALSH: And how much is your original budget

5 right now, not the additional part? Do you

6 estimate --

7 MR. PARISE: I have no idea, Kevin.

8 MR. WALSH: Okay. Here's what I -- I mean,

9 obviously nothing is going to get resolved today,

10 John.

11 MR. PARISE: What I think we need to do today,

12 then, is talk about scheduling the evidentiary

13 hearing.

14 MR. WALSH: Well, what I was going to ask,

15 before we go there is, if you could get us a price

16 on how much it would cost us just to get the

17 estimate.

18 MR. PARISE: I can get that for sure. I can get

19 that very quickly.

20 MR. WALSH: And then I would ask one more thing.

21 If you could hold the funds that you

22 have set aside, if you could talk to whoever, and

1 maybe set them aside so we don't lose them, for  
2 maybe six months. If we can get a price, then, at  
3 least, we can make a decision. We can't even make a  
4 decision without a price. We don't even know how  
5 much it's going to cost us to get the price.

6 MR. PARISE: I'll tell you what. I'll get you a  
7 price to engineer the job, a hard price, this week.

8 MS. SCHURER: And how about -- it's Camille.

9 How about the additional cost to  
10 residents and how they're going to break that down  
11 monthly for the community. That's important.

12 MR. PARISE: I think, Camille -- John Parise.

13 I think you folks are going to have to  
14 do that. I don't think we want to even get involved  
15 in that.

16 JUDGE HAYNES: Okay. So, Mr. Parise, you're  
17 going to get them the cost to engineer it, what  
18 that's going to cost them. You're going to get them  
19 a high level cost estimate on the shorter route, and  
20 the number of customers affected --

21 MR. WALSH: Well, John, the only reason why I  
22 ask that is because Ruben Gomez said he would have

1 to provide us with how many people can pay for it.

2 MR. PARISE: You know what I can get you guys, I  
3 can tell you how many customers are on that feeder,  
4 I believe. And then we can just do it -- you know,  
5 if it's \$100,000, and you got 100 people, it's a  
6 thousand a person.

7 MS. SCHURER: We need that information, John.

8 MR. PARISE: I'll see if I can get that. If you  
9 folks can hold on for one second, I'll try and call  
10 and see if I can get those numbers for you right  
11 now.

12 MR. SCHURER: Well, why don't you put it all  
13 together, John. I think it would be better.

14 MR. PARISE: The only numbers I'm going to get  
15 for you, up front, are the costs to engineer the  
16 job.

17 MR. SCHURER: But I'd say, if we can get it all  
18 together at one time, it would be better.

19 MR. PARISE: What I'm saying is, the only thing  
20 I can get up front is the cost to engineer the job.

21 JUDGE HAYNES: Well, you also need a high level  
22 estimate on the shorter route.

1       MR. PARISE:   Right.   Right.

2       JUDGE HAYNES:   And if you can come up with the  
3 number of customers affected on that feeder line.  
4 Okay.

5       MR. WALSH:   This is Kevin Walsh.

6                    Maybe you know the answer.   What is a  
7 "high level quote"?   Is that the highest it can be  
8 or --

9       JUDGE HAYNES:   It means it might be wrong.

10      MR. PARISE:   It's just a high level, Kevin.  
11 It's just a ballpark.   Here's what it's probably  
12 going to cost you.

13      MR. WALSH:   Okay.

14      MR. PARISE:   That's why -- I even hate sometimes  
15 to see people give high level quotes out, because  
16 what if a job comes in at 150, like you said, and  
17 you told everybody a 100.

18      MS. SCHURER:   This is Camille.

19                    They've made us suffer for years.   How  
20 about everything that they've ruined on us in our  
21 brown (sic) outs and our spoiled groceries and our  
22 computers and our appliances that had shorted.



1 JUDGE HAYNES: And if the community decides to  
2 go ahead with the engineering study and pay for the  
3 engineering study, there's no obligation to go ahead  
4 with anything. They're just going to find out how  
5 much this costs at that point, right?

6 MR. PARISE: That's correct. And if they decide  
7 to go with the engineering on the underground --

8 JUDGE HAYNES: Right.

9 MR. PARISE: -- the money they spent will be  
10 taken off the job.

11 JUDGE HAYNES: Okay.

12 And how long do these engineering  
13 studies take?

14 MR. PARISE: I don't know the man-hours. I  
15 can't talk to that. It won't be months. It'll be  
16 weeks.

17 JUDGE HAYNES: Okay.

18 MR. WALSH: Can we hold the allocated funds at  
19 least until we get the price to do the underground,  
20 is that fair? Because if it's only weeks, can we at  
21 least get a guarantee that you'll hold those funds  
22 until we get an actual number to do the underground

1 work?

2 MR. PARISE: I'd like to say "yes," but I can't  
3 speak --

4 MR. GOLDSTEIN: John?

5 MR. PARISE: Yes, Mark.

6 MR. GOLDSTEIN: I assume that every department  
7 at ComEd budgets as of the beginning of your fiscal  
8 year. When does your fiscal year start?

9 MR. PARISE: I think it's January 1st.

10 MR. GOLDSTEIN: So --

11 MR. PARISE: But, you see the way it works,  
12 though, Mark, and I mentioned it before, is that we  
13 budget for these jobs. If we pull this job out of  
14 the works, in other words, we're not going to do it,  
15 they will take that money and spend it on the next  
16 priority. What they do is they prioritize based on  
17 the reliability of the feeders, and they get the  
18 worst performing feeders first and they kind of move  
19 on down.

20 MR. GOLDSTEIN: Okay.

21 MR. WALSH: Can we make an exception? Because,  
22 as you've said, you've put the job on hold because

1 of the alderman.

2 MR. PARISE: I'm guessing the answer is "yes,"  
3 Kevin, but I don't want to answer to that. I'll do  
4 the best I can. And I'll get you an answer as  
5 quickly as I can for that.

6 MR. WALSH: Okay.

7 JUDGE HAYNES: Okay.

8 MR. PARISE: I can't give you an "yes" or "no"  
9 because I'm not empowered to do that.

10 JUDGE HAYNES: Okay. What I think we should do  
11 is set it for a status, not too far out, because in  
12 addition to the Company thinking about if they can  
13 hold these funds, I'm going to think about if the  
14 Commission can order the Company to hold these  
15 funds. I don't know. I have no idea. But with  
16 this complaint pending and -- although there is only  
17 one complaint filed --

18 MR. WALSH: As of now.

19 JUDGE HAYNES: -- so, can we, at least,  
20 Mr. Parise, not have something happen to these funds  
21 in the next couple of weeks?

22 MR. PARISE: Again, your Honor, I can't answer

1 that. I'd like to say "yes," but I'll have to talk  
2 to my people over here.

3 JUDGE HAYNES: Okay.

4 MR. PARISE: I'm guessing the answer is "yes,"  
5 but I don't want to give you an answer that might be  
6 incorrect.

7 JUDGE HAYNES: Okay. So in the next couple of  
8 weeks let's get together again and you'll have all  
9 the information for people, the parties, let them  
10 know it and let's pick a date.

11 MR. WALSH: Real quick.

12 John, if you get the cost to execute  
13 the engineering report so that we can get a cost  
14 before that hearing, can you just forward it to me  
15 because if it's a thousand bucks, I'm sure we'll  
16 just pay it so we can get the ball rolling to get  
17 the price instead of waiting weeks.

18 JUDGE HAYNES: Yes. As soon as you have the  
19 information --

20 MR. PARISE: Yeah, I've got your e-mail address,  
21 Kevin, so I can get that information out to you, I  
22 would say very quickly.

1       MR. WALSH:   I'd appreciate it.

2       JUDGE HAYNES:   Okay.

3       MR. PARISE:   Can we just take one step back just

4 to make sure that I've got everything here that you

5 folks expect from me.

6               No. 1, I'm going to get the number of

7 customers impacted on the feeder, okay?

8               No. 2, I'm going to find out what it

9 would cost for the engineering on both Hiawatha and

10 Tacoma --

11       MR. SCHURER:   Tahoma.

12       MR. PARISE:   -- Tahoma.

13               No. 3, I'm going to see if we can save

14 the money.

15       MR. WALSH:   How much money was there?

16       JUDGE HAYNES:   They're not saying.

17               I think that all that is relevant for

18 the customers is what your cost would be above and

19 beyond that.

20       MR. WALSH:   Right.

21       MR. PARISE:   Correct.

22       JUDGE HAYNES:   I'm sorry.   Go ahead.

1       MR. PARISE: I think that's all. Am I missing  
2 something?

3       JUDGE HAYNES: Yeah. And, you know, I am  
4 strongly encouraging you to set that money aside  
5 until we've had some more resolution of this and  
6 these people are given an opportunity to have enough  
7 information to make decisions.

8                   And the thing that I think you're  
9 leaving off is the ballpark estimate on the shorter  
10 route.

11       MR. PARISE: No, I had that. I'm going to make  
12 a ballpark estimate on both routes. We've got the  
13 one on Hiawatha. We'll get Tahoma.

14       JUDGE HAYNES: Right.

15                   And then the cost of the engineering  
16 study on both of them.

17       MR. PARISE: Right.

18                   To be honest, though, I'm not sure  
19 that ballpark really helps us out. As Kevin  
20 mentioned before, it's so wide.

21       JUDGE HAYNES: Okay.

22       MR. PARISE: And if we do the actual engineering

1 study and it comes in at 150,000, it sets a false  
2 expectation level.

3 JUDGE HAYNES: Okay.

4 MR. WALSH: I'd prefer not to have them.

5 MR. PARISE: I know.

6 MR. WALSH: Because if that number is floating  
7 around --

8 MR. PARISE: Yes, exactly, Kevin.

9 MR. WALSH: -- people are going to close their  
10 minds if it comes in higher.

11 JUDGE HAYNES: Okay. Then, however you want to  
12 deal with that.

13 MR. PARISE: Are we all in agreement?

14 MS. SCHURER: Well, I -- this is Camille.

15 I still have a few additional things I  
16 want to ask, and that is, as you have said  
17 repeatedly, John, I was in the room, and it has been  
18 said recently at the March 11th meeting, there is  
19 still -- even if you do this underground, there's  
20 still no guarantees that this will solve our  
21 problem. And I still want to urge you to go back to  
22 your 2002 decision to add Bunker Hill, part of

1 Niles' Bunker Hill, to our load. It intensified  
2 with power outages at that time.

3                   So that, in addition to everything  
4 else we want to do, may be something that needs to  
5 be looked at again.

6       JUDGE HAYNES: Okay. Maybe, Mr. Parise, there  
7 is some explanation at the Company of why that  
8 wouldn't improve service, or something.

9       MR. PARISE: Your Honor, actually, the answer  
10 they gave is really the only answer we can give.

11       JUDGE HAYNES: I see that you say you shifted  
12 load.

13       MR. PARISE: Right. When they shift load -- in  
14 other words, like in your house, you have breakers  
15 in your house. And if you have too many lines on  
16 one breaker, it could blow the breaker. So you're  
17 going to move some of those circuits, or some of  
18 your appliances from one to another to avoid that  
19 from happening. That's virtually what we've done  
20 here, is to rebalance the load so that it's more  
21 even.

22                   I'm not an engineer. They're telling



1 me that that's not an issue on there.

2 MS. SCHURER: Well, you can overload everything  
3 there.

4 MR. PARISE: I think what the real issue is  
5 here, we have a radial feed, which means we have one  
6 line coming into your area. You're toward the end  
7 of the line, and that's it. With the inclusion of  
8 this new line, whether it's overhead or underground,  
9 it's going to form a loop, which will help to --  
10 help all the customers out there.

11 You know, if the circuit goes down at  
12 one spot, they can bring the load up by doing some  
13 shifting rather quickly. That's the difference when  
14 you have a radial feed versus a loop feed.

15 MS. SCHURER: So we will be getting a loop feed.

16 MR. PARISE: That's correct.

17 MS. SCHURER: And we now have a radial.

18 MR. PARISE: That's correct.

19 MS. SCHURER: Okay.

20 MR. PARISE: In other words, radial feed means  
21 coming in from one side.

22 MS. SCHURER: Okay.

1       MR. PARISE: A loop feed means you're going to  
2 tie it in from the other side so that if -- let's  
3 say a tree comes down on a wire to the north of you,  
4 they can do some shifting and bring the power into  
5 you from the south.

6       MS. SCHURER: Okay. I understand that.

7       MR. PARISE: Okay.

8       MS. SCHURER: And then the last thing I want to  
9 say is that, do you want it out there that it's  
10 known information that the 41st Ward has the least  
11 reliable power supply in the city? Do you want that  
12 out there?

13      MR. PARISE: Well, of course I don't want that  
14 out there. But if it's the truth, it's the truth.  
15 And the truth stands on its own.

16      MS. SCHURER: Well, you know what, I think ComEd  
17 would want to make a change to improve.

18      MR. PARISE: Well, we are -- Camille, we are  
19 doing that by trying to install the loop feed.

20      MS. SCHURER: Okay. Let's try to succeed then.

21      JUDGE HAYNES: Okay. So we need to pick a date,  
22 then.

1       MR. WALSH: John, how long do you need to get  
2 some of that information? Two weeks? Three weeks?

3       MR. PARISE: First of all, let's clarify the  
4 information. The information I'm promising to get  
5 to you folks, I'm hoping to get that this week.

6       JUDGE HAYNES: Okay.

7       MR. PARISE: You know, it's the Easter holiday.  
8 A lot of people are already gone. So I don't know.  
9 My goal would be to get it -- I know Ruben has gone  
10 on vacation this week. Down in Texas. Nice  
11 weather. I'm hoping to get it this week. I can't  
12 promise that. But I'll promise you one thing, I'll  
13 get it as quickly as I can.

14       MR. WALSH: All right. Do you want to set the  
15 date for the week of the 14th? That gives you,  
16 like, two and a half weeks, because of the holiday.

17       MR. PARISE: Well, let's make sure -- the  
18 information you're talking about is just strictly  
19 the information on the number of customers and the  
20 amount of money to engineer -- the one or two jobs,  
21 I didn't hear that?

22       JUDGE HAYNES: The engineering for both -- the

1 cost for both jobs. And nobody, apparently, wants  
2 the ballpark on the shorter route.

3 MR. PARISE: Right. Okay.

4 JUDGE HAYNES: Okay.

5 MR. PARISE: Okay. Then what I'll get is the  
6 number of customers impacted and the engineering  
7 cost for both Hiawatha and Tahoma to go underground.

8 JUDGE HAYNES: Right.

9 MR. PARISE: And what it costs to engineer it  
10 only. And, basically, that's really it, I think,  
11 right?

12 JUDGE HAYNES: Yes.

13 MR. WALSH: Let's say, a thousand bucks. We're  
14 going to pay the thousand bucks. Your engineers  
15 will put together a hard cost that ComEd would live  
16 by, correct?

17 MR. PARISE: It would be two separate costs,  
18 Kevin, one for Hiawatha and the other one for  
19 Tahoma.

20 MR. WALSH: Right. No, I understand.

21 MR. PARISE: Two separate entities.

22 JUDGE HAYNES: And after this engineering study

1 is done, you would have a per household cost and who  
2 that would be, right?

3 MR. PARISE: I'm hoping to get that.

4 The way I'm going to do it, your  
5 Honor, is I'm just going to take -- like I told you,  
6 if it's \$100,000, and we have 1,000 people, it's  
7 1,000 a person.

8 JUDGE HAYNES: Okay.

9 MR. PARISE: Right? Is there another way to do  
10 it?

11 MR. WALSH: No, it would be --

12 JUDGE HAYNES: That's fine.

13 MR. WALSH: I know how to do it.

14 MS. SCHURER: And the option on how they would  
15 pay that. If they could pay that in an installment  
16 payment.

17 MR. PARISE: No, that's -- to be honest,  
18 Camille --

19 MS. SCHURER: That was suggested at the  
20 March 11th meeting.

21 MR. PARISE: If the power is to be -- our policy  
22 at ComEd consistently is, that we get the monies for

1 these jobs up front, it's hard to collect afterward.

2 But I will check with upper management at ComEd to

3 see if they're willing to deviate from that policy.

4 MS. SCHURER: Well, that was Ruben Gomez'

5 information on March 11th, as well.

6 MR. SCHURER: That they would do it over a year.

7 MR. PARISE: I think what he was looking at, if

8 the alderman wants to invoke a rider, we can do it

9 that way.

10 MR. SCHURER: \$100,000 divided by 1,000 is \$100.

11 MR. PARISE: Right.

12 MR. SCHURER: Not \$1,000.

13 MR. WALSH: Yeah, John, that would help, because

14 we have quite a few seniors on fixed income there.

15 I mean, if we can divert it over a year, not only

16 would it help, it would be a much easier sell to the

17 1,000 or 1,200 customers.

18 MS. SCHURER: This is Bob again.

19 I don't think it's going to be 1,000.

20 The engineer at our meeting thought it was more in

21 the range of 250 households.

22 MR. PARISE: I'm not sure, to be honest with

1 you. I was throwing numbers out there is all.

2 JUDGE HAYNES: Okay.

3 MR. SCHURER: What was being thrown around was  
4 75,000 divided by 250 households, was \$300 per  
5 household. And then Commonwealth Edison would  
6 charge that on the bill over 12 months.

7 JUDGE HAYNES: Okay. So Mr. Parise will look  
8 into that, too, before we meet again.

9 MR. WALSH: Real quick.

10 I think there's some confusion there  
11 because -- there has to be more than 250 residents  
12 without that little triangle.

13 JUDGE HAYNES: Yes, but only --

14 MR. PARISE: Let's not speculate. Let me try to  
15 find that.

16 MR. SCHURER: Remember now, only north of  
17 (inaudible).

18 MR. WALSH: Yeah, there still has to be more  
19 than 250 homes north of Conti (phonetic). There has  
20 to be closer to 800. And that's why, originally,  
21 Ruben wasn't sure if the other homes that aren't  
22 affected could even contribute to the bill. That's

1 what he was going to get back to us on.

2 JUDGE HAYNES: Well -- and also, the only people  
3 that would have this in their yards might be the  
4 ones that would be paying the extra.

5 MR. WALSH: Well, nothing would be in the yards.

6 JUDGE HAYNES: I mean, the front yard.

7 MR. WALSH: In the parkway.

8 JUDGE HAYNES: Oh, so this is in the park --  
9 it's not on people's front yards, it's the parkway  
10 in the middle of the street?

11 MS. SCHURER: The parkway is the front yard.

12 MR. WALSH: You know, you have your house, the  
13 sidewalk and the grass. It would be buried in the  
14 grass.

15 JUDGE HAYNES: It would go through 250 people's  
16 front yards, or a thousand --

17 MR. WALSH: No. It's only going to go in front  
18 of three people's home. They've got to connect the  
19 pole from here to here. It's 180 feet.

20 JUDGE HAYNES: So, we're talking about three  
21 people's homes are affected by these aboveground  
22 poles?



1 MS. SCHURER: It was a 400-foot span from  
2 Hiawatha and McAlpin (inaudible) --  
3 MR. WALSH: It crosses --  
4 MS. SCHURER: -- 400 feet.  
5 MR. SCHURER: It's in front of their house,  
6 Judge. In front of their houses. Nowhere in our  
7 community is there a pole in front of anyone's  
8 house. All the poles are in the rear of our houses.  
9 And the electricity comes into our houses from the  
10 rear of our houses, nothing in the front of our  
11 houses.  
12 JUDGE HAYNES: Okay.  
13 MR. SCHURER: This would be the first time -- it  
14 would be a precedent and that's what we're trying to  
15 stop.  
16 MS. SCHURER: And it would also encompass homes  
17 on three sides.  
18 JUDGE HAYNES: Okay. But just so I'm clear.  
19 We're only talking about four people's front yards?  
20 MS. SCHURER: You know, it sounds minimal when  
21 you say it that way.  
22 MR. WALSH: Yeah, it's a much bigger --

1 MS. SCHURER: 400 feet.

2 MR. WALSH: -- aesthetic problem.

3 JUDGE HAYNES: What you're telling me is that

4 the whole community is interested in stopping these

5 lines from going overhead.

6 MR. WALSH: Yes.

7 MR. SCHURER: The whole community.

8 Judge, I was at that meeting and there

9 were 40 people. And of those 40 people, there was

10 not one person that said, Let's go forward with the

11 overhead lines. Everyone said, We do not want the

12 overhead lines. They must be buried if we're going

13 to have them at all.

14 JUDGE HAYNES: Okay.

15 MR. PARISE: This is John Parise, your Honor.

16 JUDGE HAYNES: Yes.

17 MR. PARISE: And on the other hand, I've had

18 conversations with other people there, which is a

19 different group, and there's a spokesman for that.

20 And they're not willing to pay anything.

21 JUDGE HAYNES: Okay.

22 MS. SCHURER: All right, this is Camille.

1                   They've got to come forward. That's  
2 hearsay.

3       MR. PARISE: You know the guy, Kevin, we're  
4 talking about?

5       MR. WALSH: If you're talking about Joe Orr, he  
6 was supposed to call in here. After March 11th's  
7 meeting, he's the one that put Ruben on the spot.  
8 He's actually spearheading his 890 members to pay  
9 for it.

10      MS. SCHURER: Who's this, Kevin?

11      MR. WALSH: Joe Orr.

12                   So, John, I think between the original  
13 meeting on December 4th and March 11th, when he  
14 actually was informed that the poles were going on  
15 the parkway, you can talk to Ruben, he and the  
16 alderman -- he's driving the alderman crazy about  
17 this -- he is not in conjunction with putting those  
18 poles on the parkway.

19                   He was explained that they were going  
20 to go on the easement behind the people's home.

21      JUDGE HAYNES: Okay.

22      MR. WALSH: So you may want to followup with

1 him, because he's not on-board.

2 MR. PARISE: You know what it comes down to,  
3 though, I hope everything works out for you guys,  
4 really.

5 MS. SCHURER: You have the power to make it work  
6 for us, John.

7 JUDGE HAYNES: Okay. You know what, how  
8 about -- the week of April 14th isn't good for me.  
9 What about April 10th, that's two weeks --

10 MS. SCHURER: What are we doing?

11 JUDGE HAYNES: Three weeks.

12 MR. WALSH: Monday, April 10th.

13 MR. GOLDSTEIN: Thursday.

14 JUDGE HAYNES: That's three weeks.  
15 What about April 3rd? I think that's  
16 spring break for a lot of people, isn't it?

17 MS. SCHURER: What are you doing on that date?

18 JUDGE HAYNES: Another status hearing.

19 MS. SCHURER: There, in your office?

20 JUDGE HAYNES: Correct. But we could have the  
21 call-in number.

22 MR. PARISE: We can do it the same way we're

1 doing it right now, if you folks would like.

2 MR. SCHURER: Yes.

3 JUDGE HAYNES: Is April 10th acceptable?

4 MR. WALSH: It's fine with me.

5 JUDGE HAYNES: Mr. Goldstein?

6 MR. GOLDSTEIN: Fine with me.

7 JUDGE HAYNES: Ms. Schurer?

8 MS. SCHURER: I can do that.

9 JUDGE HAYNES: You can call in on April 10th?

10 MS. SCHURER: Yes.

11 JUDGE HAYNES: And is this time of day good for

12 people?

13 MR. GOLDSTEIN: Can we do it in the morning?

14 MS. SCHURER: I would prefer about this time.

15 MR. GOLDSTEIN: If she prefers it, I prefer it.

16 JUDGE HAYNES: Okay. So 1:00 o'clock on

17 April 10th?

18 MS. SCHURER: Yes.

19 MR. PARISE: Give me two seconds to make sure if

20 this number is okay then.

21 JUDGE HAYNES: Okay.

22 (Short pause.)

1       MR. PARISE: April the 10th at 1:00 p.m., is  
2 that correct?

3       JUDGE HAYNES: Yes.

4       MS. SCHURER: Yes.

5       MR. WALSH: John, you'll send out those e-mails?

6       MR. PARISE: I will send the e-mails to the  
7 group. I'll send the e-mail out today. And then  
8 I'll followup -- as soon as I get the information on  
9 the engineering cost, I'll send an e-mail to this  
10 very same group, to all of us, so we'll all get the  
11 numbers at the same time.

12       JUDGE HAYNES: And is it going to be the same  
13 call-in number?

14       MR. PARISE: It will be the same call-in number.  
15 I'll include that in the invite, the calendar  
16 invite.

17       MS. SCHURER: Okay. Great.

18       MR. WALSH: Thanks. See you later.

19       JUDGE HAYNES: Thank you.

20                               (Whereupon, the above-entitled  
21                               matter was continued to  
22                               April 10th, 2008, 1:00 p.m.)